

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO	O	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/386,824		08/31/1999	YASUJI OTA	FUJO-16.446	1838
26304	759	0 12/10/2003		EXAM	INER
		CHIN ZAVIS ROSE	AZAD, ABUL K		
575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			2654	20
				DATE MAILED: 12/10/2001	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
_	09/386,824	OTA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ABUL K. AZAD	2654
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may be a selected by within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MG, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 S	September 2003.	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under B		
Disposition of Claims	,	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o		
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyation is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the firm 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language process.	ts have been received. Its have been received in brity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In of the certified copies not ic priority under 35 U.S.C st sentence of the specification has	Application No en received in this National Stage of received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ication or in an Application Data Sheet. been received.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of tr		
rotototioe was included in the mat sentence of the	to appointation of in all r	ppsalion Sala Shoot. of Shift 1.70.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This action is in response to the communication filed on September 16, 2003.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are pending in this action. Claims 1, 7, 13 and 16 have been amended.
- 3. The applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. For examiner's response to the applicant's arguments or comments, see the detailed discussion in the Response to the Arguments section.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) The invention was described in -
 - (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 5. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ozawa (US 5,963,896).

As per claim 1, Ozawa teaches, "a voice coding method based on analysis-by-synthesis vector quantization using a code book containing a voice source code vector having only a plurality of non-zero amplitude values," comprising the step of:

Art Unit: 2654

"using a configuration variable code book containing a voice source code vector having only a plurality of non-zero amplitude values" (col. 3, lines 8-24; M non-zero amplitude pulse)

"variably replacing a position of a sample of the non-zero amplitude value in the configuration variable code book using only an index and a transmission parameter indicating a feature amount of the voice without any additional supplementary information" (col. 7, lines 23-44);

"wherein the position and amplitude of the non-zero amplitude values coding an input speech signal are selected as an optimum series from entries in the configuration variable code book, which entries are varied by a certain rule rather than being determined from the input speech" (col. 6, line 62 to col. 7, line 46).

As per claim 2, Ozawa teaches, "variably replacing the position of the sample of the non-zero amplitude value in the configuration variable code book using the index and a lag value corresponding to a pitch period which is transmission parameter indicating the feature amount of voice" (col. 3, lines 25-62).

As per claim 3, Ozawa teaches, "reconstructing the position of the sample of the non-zero amplitude value within a region corresponding value in the configuration variable code book within a region corresponding to the lag value depending on the pitch gin value" (col. 17, line 50 to col. 18, line 58).

As per claims 4-18, they have similar limitations as claim 1-3, so claims 4-18 are also rejected for the same reasons.

Art Unit: 2654

Response to Arguments

6. The applicant argues: "Configuration variable codebook 1 or 1' changes in terms of the non-zero sample positions, but importantly and unlike Ozawa, does not change in respect to the number of the non-zero samples".

The examiner disagrees with applicant's above assertion because Ozawa teaches, at col. 7, lines 23-44, "variably replacing a position of a sample of the non-zero amplitude value in the configuration variable code book using only an index and a transmission parameter indicating a feature amount of the voice without any additional supplementary information", particularly reads on "the excitation quantization circuit 350 quantizes the position of at least one pulse with a predetermined number of bits, and outputs an index representative of the position to the multiplexer 400.

7. The applicant argues: "in contrast, the present invention differs as follows: a non-zero amplitude pulse series is generated by the configuration variable codebook, which is determined accordance with a rule that is another transmission parameter such as a pitch period value".

The examiner disagrees with the applicant's above assertion because Ozawa teaches the limitation at col. 6, lines 62 to col. 7, lines 47.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2654

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abul K. Azad whose telephone number is (703) 305-3838.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Richemond Dorvil**, can be reached at **(703) 305-9645**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

(For informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Art Unit: 2654

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center's Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Abul K. Azad

December 7, 2003

RICHEMOND DORVIL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER